1	
2	
3	
4	DECEMBRATION ADVITORY DOADD METERING
5	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
6	
7	
8	FORT MCCLELLAN, ALABAMA
9	
10	
11	* * *
12	Taken before Donna D. Gallahar, Court
13	Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
14	Alabama at Large at Building 141-A, Fort
15	McClellan, Alabama on the 20th day of July,
16	1998, commencing at approximately 6:30 p.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	

1		R 	Е	P	0	R	Т	Е	R	'	S		I	N	D	E X	
2																	
3	CAPTION.														. E	age	1
4	INDEX														. E	age	2
5	RESTORAT	101	N A	7Q <i>P</i>	JIS	SOI	RY	В	IAC	RD	M]	EE:	ΓII	NG	. E	age	3-95
6	REPORTER	S	CI	ER:	ГІЕ	FIC	CA:	ГE							. E	age	96-97
7																	
8																	
9					_												
10																	
11																	
12																	
13																	
14																	
15																	
16																	
17																	
18																	
19																	
20																	
21																	
22																	

MR. THOMASSY: Call the meeting to
order. We'll start with taking the role.
Mr. Anderson, Mr. Brown, Mr. Buford, Mr.
Conroy, Dr. Cox.
DR. COX: Here.
MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Cunningham.
MR. CUNNINGHAM: Here.
MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Elser.
MR. ELSER: Here.
MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Faust.
MR. FAUST: Here.
MR. THOMASSY: Mrs. Harrington, Mr.
Hood.
MR. HOOD: Here.
MR. THOMASSY: Mayor Kimbrough, Mrs.
Longstreth, Mr. Miller.
MR. MILLER: Here.
MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Parks, Mr.
Turner, esquire.
MR. TURNER: I'm here.
MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Johnson.
MR. JOHNSON: Here.
MR. THOMASSY: Mr. Levy.

1	MR. LEVY: Here.
2	MR. THOMASSY: Is Bart gone this
3	week?
4	MR. LEVY: He's sick.
5	MR. THOMASSY: Everybody had a
6	chance to take a look at the minutes?
7	They were fairly thorough, pretty good
8	rundown of what we did. Anybody have any
9	comments? Let's see. Go on with that,
10	there's no need to go ahead and approve
11	them, we don't have enough people to do
12	it.
13	We were going to continue the
14	discussion on the base cleanup plan. And
15	that's why Lisa asked you in the letter
16	that came out announcing this week's
17	meeting to go ahead and bring your copies
18	with you. I think, and correct me if I'm
19	wrong, but I believe that's probably the
20	heart of our focus, and all the things
21	that sit out there in other areas are
22	attachments or appendices or supporting
23	documents and activities to it. Is that

1	correct?
2	MR. LEVY: It should be essentially
3	our road map for our actions. Though
4	this particular plan is still a draft and
5	it's being revised as we speak, things
6	are changing. But yeah, in a perfect
7	world this would be it.
8	MR. THOMASSY: And of course I think
9	the imperfections will always be in it
10	since it's a living document and in the
11	fact that there are other things that
12	support it, if we can look at them as
13	attachments or supporting documentation
14	or supporting activities, it's probably
15	the right way to look at it.
16	Does anybody have any questions or
17	any areas that they would like to start
18	the discussion on or within. One of the
19	things is probably the changing nature of
20	the Fort McClellan Development
21	Commission's goals and activities. You
22	know, there's three phases, and we've got

phase 1-A that probably is the focus of

23

1	what they are doing right now. But you
2	have to reach into 1-B a little bit. You
3	certainly have to reach into some of the
4	outliars like the Eastern Bypass that is
5	not specifically listed in there as
6	things that are coming up very quickly
7	that are going to have to be
8	accommodated. We were talking here just
9	a minute ago, the domestic preparedness
10	organization has taken over this building
11	up here. Is that a lease or a transfer
12	within the federal government or how was
13	that done?
14	MR. LEVY: It's essentially a lease
15	from one federal agency to another.
16	MR. TURNER: Well, the FMDC is up
17	there too.
18	MR. THOMASSY: Who is?
19	MR. TURNER: The FMDC is in that
20	building. I think the lease is between
21	them, actually FMDC and the government.
22	MR. LEVY: I think the Army has two
23	MOU's, memorandum of understanding

1	between both the FMDC and CDP, so that
2	the two of them has got their own
3	agreement with the Army right now.
4	MR. THOMASSY: Is that the only
5	facility that's been turned over?
6	MR. LEVY: Yeah, at this point I'm
7	not aware of anything else. And that
8	really hasn't been turned over. It's
9	really a lease and it's unique in the
10	sense that we're talking really a
11	federal-type of an action.
12	MR. THOMASSY: But if part of it has
13	gone to the FMDC as well
14	MR. LEVY: Yeah.
15	MR. TURNER: It's not for any
16	identifiable consideration.
17	MR. LEVY: They receive federal
18	funds too.
19	MR. TURNER: And they treat us like
20	we're a federal entity.
21	MR. LEVY: We're able to do leases
22	at this point because the Army will, from
23	the EECA standpoint can do categoric

1	exclusions for like facilities. If a
2	facility that's an office space is
3	intended to be used for an office space,
4	the community can come in and try to get
5	it on a lease for that basis. We can't
6	do any transfers until we've completed
7	the EIS, and that should be done in early
8	November. The record of decision should
9	be out sometime in October. There is a
10	30-day period under the RCRA decision.
11	So as soon as that's complete, then
12	hopefully we'll start some transfers.
13	But I don't know or haven't heard really
14	what property they may be asking for at
15	this point other than maybe what the CDP
16	is looking for.
17	MR. THOMASSY: Who is the approval
18	authority? The EIS?
19	MR. LEVY: Ray Fatts, under
20	secretary of defense I'm sorry, I
21	can't remember his title. Paul, do you
22	know what Ray Fatts' title is? We'll
23	find out. We'll look it up. He's the

1	final signature on the document.
2	MR. JAMES: I think he's assistant
3	secretary for installations
4	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have a question
5	relative to this since we're talking
6	about transfer. You know, we deal with
7	this book and all the implications that
8	are within, and that's our business. And
9	therefore that, what we deal with here
10	ultimately results in transfer
11	capability, as I understand the system.
12	Now, reading the Anniston Star with all
13	the controversy that's occurring there
14	relative to who has the authority to
15	assume that control and hence who DOD is
16	going to officially deal with, what is
17	the answer to that? Are we going around
18	an ever increasing larger circle?
19	MR. LEVY: I can't answer that.
20	That's really out of my area altogether.
21	In terms of who DOD deals with, I can't
22	tell you. I know it's an issue, I know
23	it's in the papers, I don't know the

answer to that. Maybe Charles might want to take a shot at it.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. TURNER: Sure. Well, just speaking for myself and not for the FMDC, it is the most troubling development I've seen in local politics in my life. We are losing opportunities as the days go by. Last week we got a letter from TRADOC or from the pentagon saying that we weren't going to have an opportunity to apply for the utilities systems under EDC, they were going to sell them on the open market. The reason for that is we haven't been able to identify who's going to apply for an EDC and they are sick of waiting on us. And, I mean, it's a disgrace. We ought to run every one of these bums out. And to see the fight begin anew is even more obscene. The battle started primarily between Anniston and Calhoun County who mended their fences and got down in joint arms and had this JPA formed and then all of a sudden

1	that alliance gets attacked by another
2	political group. It's as if there's some
3	grand design to ruin reuse locally. I
4	mean, just as a concerned citizen, it
5	ought to concern everybody in this room.
6	It's an election year by god. I don't
7	plan on letting them get away with it.
8	MR. THOMASSY: Where are we right
9	now in coming to some resolution? Are
10	any of the political leaders either from
11	the congressional side or the state side
12	taking an active role in trying to
13	compromise this and come to some
14	conclusion?
15	MR. TURNER: From what I
16	understand, Larry Simms got it started
17	back up.
18	MR. THOMASSY: Yeah, he got it
19	started back up.
20	MR. TURNER: I think Mike Rogers is
21	involved just as well, and then several
22	mayors.
23	MR. THOMASSY: Everybody is staying

1	away from it, is what it is, because it
2	is an election year and those who could
3	do something aren't.
4	MR. TURNER: They are sending
5	letters to the pentagon, they've got all
6	these letters going to the pentagon
7	saying recognize them, don't recognize
8	them. And the FMDC seems to be
9	politically involved in that. So, I
10	mean, it's if you recognize the JPA then
11	all of the sudden the FMDC becomes moot.
12	So what? Nobody is against Pague over
13	there except for the staff. And I don't
14	think we can let our future depend on,
15	you know, continuing to make sure the
16	staff has a job. So that's how I see it.
17	MR. THOMASSY: Too bad Mark Anderson
18	isn't in here because Riley has a
19	leadership role and I haven't heard a
20	thing out of him lately. Have you?
21	MR. TURNER: I think his office was
22	quoted in the paper saying I hope these
23	idiots get it sorted out soon. I hope

1	it's resolved on a local level.
2	MR. LEVY: Yeah, I think what he
3	said is we hope they can resolve this on
4	a local level.
5	MR. TURNER: I'm paraphrasing.
6	MR. LEVY: Our ability to transfer
7	property is definitely impeded by it.
8	MR. THOMASSY: Oh, you can't do
9	anything until they resolve that.
10	MR. LEVY: And let me try to focus
11	back on the environmental side. Because
12	from the environmental
13	MR. TURNER: Because I'm
14	scandalizing him otherwise.
15	MR. LEVY: From the environmental
16	side, we're still going along with this
17	plan here that was put together by the
18	FMDC as a body that was tasked to plan.
19	So we're still following along the track
20	of the planning of the FMDC. We haven't
21	been told otherwise, and that's exactly
22	what we're in fact doing.
23	MR. TURNER: And I don't think

1	there's any reason to think that's not
2	going to be the general plan that's used.
3	I mean, the plan is fine, it's the
4	implementation that's killing us.
5	MR. THOMASSY: Is there any further
6	information on what they are going to do
7	with this California retirement group or
8	with Marion Military College?
9	MR. TURNER: I don't know about
10	Marion. I do know about Cal Lutheran.
11	The FMDC this morning voted to grant a
12	right of first refusal to Cal Lutheran
13	Homes on the standing housing stock out
14	here.
15	MR. THOMASSY: Will that stick?
16	MR. TURNER: Well, hell no, they
17	don't have any property interest out
18	here. From what OEA tells us, they won't
19	have. One, because they aren't eligible
20	to receive an EDC. Only the JPA can do
21	that.
22	MR. THOMASSY: So it's their
23	opinion, they can't back it up with

ything?
١

MR. TURNER: Well, as I pointed out to them this morning, they are granting a property interest in property they don't own. Looks like will never own. And it's just real hard to separate where the public service begins and the politic ends.

MR. THOMASSY: As far as the status of the cleanup goes, I guess the best picture you get, and the book tells you to do it that way, is to look at some of the CERFA and non-CERFA maps that have been provided to us in this and get a feel for the overlays also that are in there that shows the phasing that the FMDC and others have put together in this plan and what the areas look like. Does anybody have any questions or concerns about the areas, we'll say in phase 1-A that have been identified? I guess the biggest question that comes up in my mind is when you look at some of those, there

1	are some category five, six and seven
2	areas underneath those phase 1-A plots.
3	And I wonder, are we focusing on them,
4	are you, Ron, in priority sequence?
5	MR. CUNNINGHAM: The two interests,
6	again from the newspaper, that have the
7	most potential in my opinion and other
8	folks too is that of the Lutheran Housing
9	Association and Marion Institute. The
10	areas that are requested by those two
11	agencies, are the properties within their
12	requests? Are they ready or are they
13	available?
14	MR. LEVY: That I can't tell you for
15	certain without going back and looking at
16	where we're at in the program. And I
17	have not been privy to what Marion
18	Institute is interested in other than the
19	building on top of the hill.
20	MR. TURNER: Primarily the
21	headquarters building is the one building
22	that I've heard identified.
23	MR. LEVY: That's in the 1-B phase

1	which, yes, that should not be an issue.
2	Most of the structure other than the
3	historical, the asbestos, the lead base
4	paint, possibly radon issues, all of
5	those are transferable as is.
6	MR. JOHNSON: Let's go ahead, one
7	issue that we're bringing forth, though,
8	is lead base paint. Okay, and one of the
9	issues that we've got to get worked out
10	with the Army, I say we, that's State and
11	EPA with the Army is the issue of lead
12	base paint. Currently lead base paint,
13	according to the Army, issues the
14	properties that can be transferred. EPA,
15	and we take the same position as EPA, is
16	lead base paint is considered a hazardous
17	substance and should be addressed as
18	such. So what we're trying to propose
19	with Ron and TRADOC is to take some
20	areas, especially these up here in the
21	Buckner Circle area and sample them for
22	lead base paint. That tends to be
23	probably one of the few issues up here.

1	To make sure that the soil, and I'm only
2	talking about the soil outside the
3	buildings. We don't have any authority
4	whatsoever to go inside the buildings as
5	far as lead base paint. That's a public
6	health department issue, or HUD. Outside
7	lead base paint that is released into the
8	soil is considered hazardous substance.
9	Again, we want to work with the Army. I
10	think from what we've talked about when
11	we were out in San Diego, we're making
12	some leeway for hopefully getting the
13	Army to pay for some sampling. I don't
14	personally think it's going to be a
15	problem, but I certainly want to make
16	sure we've addressed it to ensure that we
17	have looked at that pathway.
18	MR. LEVY: I support what Chris is
19	saying. We've already had some sampling
20	done for lead in soils in and around
21	housing, and I can tell you what we've
22	done, and they were done under HUD
23	guidelines. But risk-wise or even in

1	accordance with their standards, there
2	wasn't a whole lot there. There were
3	some buildings that exceeded and they
4	were, I think the chapels. But that's
5	something you and I and Bart will discuss
6	in meetings, but I don't think housing
7	will be a problem.
8	MR. TURNER: Why is there a higher
9	concentration in some area like around
10	churches? Do they paint them more?
11	MR. LEVY: I don't really know.
12	MR. THOMASSY: Are you looking at
13	spillage or leaching?
14	MR. LEVY: What has either chipped
15	off or come off the sides of the building
16	and entered the soil has driven the soil
17	characteristics for lead above certain
18	limits of, this is HUD limits is really
19	what they are going by right now. What,
20	it's five hundred parts per million?
21	MR. JOHNSON: No. There's a
22	difference between, you've got TOSKA,
23	CERCLA and you've got HUD.

1	MR. LEVY: The Army is using HUD.
2	MR. JOHNSON: The EPA is, we are
3	using the CERCLA, just like any other
4	lead just like from any other source.
5	We're treating it the same and evaluating
6	it the same.
7	MR. LEVY: You ought to know that
8	there is a difference of opinion. The
9	Army's opinion is that it's not a CERCLA
10	issue, if you are going to treat us like
11	that, you need to treat every residential
12	and other type entities outside of the
13	military the same way. That's the rub
14	right now. In fact, that's not what they
15	are doing.
16	MR. TURNER: Does any of the
17	standing housing look like, do we suspect
18	that it will have extraordinary lead
19	levels?
20	MR. LEVY: I don't say
21	extraordinary. In terms of lead levels,
22	yes, in the soil. Because particularly
23	like the ones up here on Buckner Circle,

1	the date of manufacture or the date of
-	
2	construction is generally anything from
3	'73 back. 1973 back based on when they
4	were produced.
5	MR. JOHNSON: I thought it was '78.
6	MR. THOMASSY: Yeah, it said '78.
7	MR. LEVY: Sorry.
8	MR. TURNER: We think that we
9	aren't going to be able to transfer the
10	housing because of lead base paint levels
11	in the soil?
12	MR. LEVY: No, I didn't say that.
13	What Chris wants us to do is go in and
14	look at those issues. We really have not
15	done that yet.
16	MR. TURNER: Are we going to be
17	delayed?
18	MR. JOHNSON: No, no.
19	MR. LEVY: I don't think so.
20	MR. JOHNSON: And the way right now
21	it stands, if the Army does not want to
22	go in and sample for lead base paint in
23	soils, then you know, they'll probably go

1	ahead and be able to transfer the
2	property. But, you know, we as
3	protectors of humanity and the
4	environment at least can attach to the
5	FOST or FOSL that we think it's a
6	potential concern. I'm not trying to
7	alarm anybody in here. I'm just saying
8	that's an issue. There's cases where,
9	let's say the Persidio in San Francisco
10	where they did sample and found high lead
11	levels. Now, I haven't seen the reports,
12	I don't know if it's from paint chipping
13	off the walls. What I've talked to Ron
14	about is we don't want to sample around
15	every building at Fort McClellan. That's
16	unnecessary and a lot of money and it's
17	really you don't need to do that. I would
18	like to take some buildings, and really
19	these here, old, up on Buckner Circle
20	that's been here a long time that's been
21	painted a long time and use those as kind
22	of a test for what the soils are doing
23	with the lead base paint. If these come

1	back looking good, let's just take a
2	handful of them. If they come back fine,
3	then we're not going to mess with any
4	other buildings at Fort McClellan
5	regarding lead base paint.
6	MR. TURNER: Say you've got six
7	hundred parts per million up here. So
8	what do you do then?
9	MR. JOHNSON: You can't, that's the
10	problem when you deal with lead.
11	Everybody wants it numbered and that's
12	not how you deal with it.
13	MR. TURNER: Well, I don't care
14	about the number. I'm not married to any
15	number. Say it's too high. It's higher
16	than the CERCLA level or whatever level
17	you are going to use.
18	MR. JOHNSON: If it warrants, if it
19	is a problem, typically you'll take a
20	layer off, say six inches and come back
21	in with top soil and grass it. That's
22	typically what's done.
23	MR. LEVY: We're not talking about a

tremendous effort if we did go that route
to scrape the top soil off and replace
it. There's even a protective measure
where you cap it. But before you get
wrapped around this, at this point I can
tell you I believe the majority of our
buildings you are just not going to see
it. You are just not going to see it.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Are we dealing with the situation, I'll agree with what you are saying initially, we're dealing with rehabilitation, and the transfer of the property is somebody else's issue. But that's not really the case, because if indeed the JPO or JPA negotiated and gets someone ready to occupy and take over say the housing for the retirement center and then suddenly we come up with the situation where they say, "Hey, lead base paint is an issue that has to be corrected before that property can be transferred," then we're essentially in a situation where that could stop the whole

1	process. Is that a possibility?
2	MR. LEVY: Well, you know, it could
3	be.
4	MR. TURNER: Or are we going to have
5	to wait until the government gets up
6	enough money to come in here and scrape
7	thirty or forty yards and haul off the
8	dirt to a hazardous landfill.
9	MR. JOHNSON: I brought this up
10	because it's an issue, but honestly, as
11	much lead cleanups as I've been involved
12	with, I really don't think it's going to
13	be a problem. This is more of really us
14	and EPA making sure we've covered that.
15	And to say if somebody from the public
16	says, "Did you guys look at lead base
17	paint in the soil?" We'll say, "We sure
18	did and it's fine." That is really what
19	we're trying to do here.
20	MR. LEVY: Before we say anything,
21	we really need to look at numbers and see
22	what we've got out there.
23	MR. TURNER: So when are y'all going

1	to do this testing?
2	MR. LEVY: Well, actually I've got
3	some work that's already been done. The
4	BCT, they have not seen it yet. So based
5	on that, we're going to make some
6	decisions on whether we need some
7	additional sampling or if we're okay.
8	There's some risk assessment involved in
9	it. Like I said, there were a few
10	facilities where the lead levels were
11	high, but the majority of them were very
12	low or non-existent at all. And I have
13	not shown it to my BCT members or EPA or
14	ADEM. So we're just talking around it
15	right now. Until we get our hands on it,
16	I can't tell you where it's at.
17	MR. JOHNSON: This issue goes all
18	the way to the top. The lead base paint
19	issue is policy issue going on between
20	EPA and department DOD, not just the
21	Army. So we're really trying to come up
22	with a resolution specifically here for
23	Ron to send up the ladder and say look,

1	give him the money, let's go do this and
2	take care of the problem. If we sit
3	around and wait on the folks in
4	Washington to give us some policy and
5	guidance on this, then yeah, we will be
6	waiting a long time.
7	MR. LEVY: Yeah, we want to solve
8	this at this level. We want to be able
9	to come up with a solution where I can
10	get him to sign off on this FOST in
11	agreement that says there is an issue
12	there or we've taken action to address
13	the issue.
14	DR. COX: Chris, you are only
15	concerned about the lead levels in the
16	soil not in the exterior or the interior
17	of the building?
18	MR. JOHNSON: That's correct.
19	MR. LEVY: We will provide whatever
20	information we have on interior paint as
21	well to prospective buyers. That will
22	all go into the information we provide.
23	Anything we've got on radon, lead base

1	paint, asbestos will be provided.
2	MR. JOHNSON: I've spoken with the
3	health department about the interior
4	portions and they handle that. And
5	really the Army is only required to
6	notify the one who is going to take the
7	property that it's there. And it's
8	really a buyer beware notification. I
9	take it that, I think that the Army, you
10	guys are going to abate where necessary,
11	where it's flaking off. I'm not sure,
12	but I think that's been the case I think
13	so far.
14	MR. LEVY: We will, I've got to go
15	back and look again at the wording of the
16	policy. But essentially we will abate
17	where and before I misspeak, I'll have
18	to resurrect the policy. I think I
19	quoted once before at a RAB meeting, and
20	I can't remember what it is off the top
21	of my head. There is a policy where this
22	case where we may go in and do some
23	abatement work.

1	MR. JOHNSON: Would y'all, I'll be
2	more than happy to give you my spin as
3	far as the number of sites we're looking
4	at and what's going on currently, if it
5	will help, instead of asking questions on
6	the BCT. Right now that document is, you
7	know, lacking a lot of information.
8	There's a lot of things that have gone on
9	that are going to have to go in the
10	second round of that.
11	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't want to
12	beat a dead horse, but if the JPA is
13	it JPA or JPO?
14	MR. TURNER: JPA, joint powers
15	authority.
16	MR. CUNNINGHAM: JPA signs a
17	contract with XYZ to occupy some area out
18	here, main post building or the housing
19	and those are the two greatest
20	potentials I think right now What is
21	that authority then that this body has
22	relative to concurring with that action
23	or the things that we're involved in in

1	the relationship with that contractual
2	arrangement?
3	MR. LEVY: Say that one more time.
4	MR. CUNNINGHAM: What authority
5	does this body have relative to saying,
6	hold up you can't do that because you've
7	got a lead base paint issue or something
8	similar to that?
9	MR. LEVY: Well, first off, the body
10	is advisory in nature so authority is not
11	the right word to use. Secondly, we are
12	a separate body from the FMDC. We view
13	issues the way we feel as a body or even
14	individually, the Army is supposed to
15	listen as a group and individually. So
16	if somebody wants to make a statement
17	that is contrary to other folks, we're
18	supposed to take that into advice as
19	well. So if you have a difference of
20	opinion from the FMDC, or what other
21	entity or the JPA or whatnot has defined
22	in their goals and whatnot, then we're
23	supposed to listen to that.

1	And y'all need to understand that.
2	I know everybody wants to support the
3	community and do what planning is
4	necessary, and that's good. However, you
5	are also here as separate entities and
6	other voices within the community to say
7	and express what you feel about the
8	cleanup and other health issues. You
9	just need to remember that. Any opinions
10	count, all opinions count.
11	MR. THOMASSY: Chris made an offer
12	and I think it's a good one just to let
13	him as a member of the base cleanup team
14	tell us where they are right now. I
15	think that would help. What do you feel
16	about that?
17	MR. JOHNSON: It's really, I'm
18	going to talk about everything that we've
19	got on our plate and kind of what's
20	happening from radon to lead base paint.
21	Right now our number one priority is
22	these SI's. We've got about sixty
23	no, probably eighty SI's that I've got

1	work plans reviewing and giving comments
2	back to Ron and eventually IT. They are
3	going to be out in the fields this fall
4	to gather all that data. Concurrently to
5	that, we've just gotten the baseline
6	background metals report in. That was a
7	big document and an important document.
8	Because metals, as you know, for Fort
9	McClellan and for north Alabama, drive
10	risk assessments unnecessarily. Meaning
11	that a lot of times metals in the
12	naturally occurring soils and water and
13	sediment pose risks that weren't from
14	Fort McClellan or Army practices, just
15	they are there, like radon. That
16	document, I'm pretty sure, I just got it
17	Friday, we're going to finalize that. I
18	don't think it'll take more than me
19	looking at it for thirty minutes to make
20	sure it's right. We've been pretty
21	heavily involved in getting that report
22	up to speed. What that document is going
23	to do is we are going to incorporate all

1	that data into our installation-wide work
2	plan that IT is developing. The
3	installation-wide work plan, truly this
4	document will reference quite a bit. It
5	had our risk base screening methodology
6	in there. It incorporates our site
7	specific screening levels which basically
8	from the SI, when I go out in the field
9	and I pull data back, I'm going to
10	compare that data to my site specific
11	screening levels that are in the
12	installation-wide report. And with that
13	is the background metals. Because you
14	compare to the metals report whenever
15	something does elevate it past the risk,
16	then you look up tables and you see if
17	it's naturally occurring or not. That's
18	where we are going to pull in that data.
19	Also the ecological screening
20	process is in the installation-wide
21	report. We've been working to really get
22	beyond the normal way of doing business
23	on the screening process. What IT is

1	developing is something we've all agreed
2	to that's going to fast track this
3	process. It's unique, the ecological
4	screening process is unique to Fort
5	McClellan. I talked to Adrian Gonzales
6	at IT last week. He's pretty much got
7	that together. Right now us and EPA are
8	basically looking at his proposal to make
9	sure we're all happy with it and we'll
10	put that into the final work plan.
11	QST was in the field May of this
12	year for seventeen site investigations
13	they were doing. Talked to them last
14	week. They are putting together a report
15	and sending that to us probably within a
16	couple of months or maybe less than that.
17	They've got a lot of data to put
18	together. So that's another seventeen in
19	addition to the eighty. Then since SCIC
20	has their base-wide background metal
21	report complete, they can go ahead and
22	finalize the remedial investigation
23	report. That's the report that has been

1	going on for quite a while because of
2	insufficient data and other things, but
3	now they will be able to finalize it and
4	we'll be able to see where those sites
5	stand, for example, landfill three. They
6	can re-evaluate the risk assessment
7	there, and we can, I think we are going
8	to get some NFRAP's out of that document.
9	What was driving a lot of sites in there,
10	again, was the metals. We knew they were
11	naturally occurring, but we can't just
12	you know, we have to have the data to
13	back up the facts of whether or not, so
14	now we can.
15	MR. LEVY: Do you all know what
16	NFRAP is?
17	MR. JOHNSON: No further action,
18	walk away. The open burn open detonation
19	that's a black, I mean a RCRA site.
20	You've got CERCLA cleanups, well, that's
21	out on Pelham Range, but it's part of
22	BRAC. That's where they blew up ordnance
23	and exposed of munitions that were no

1	longer used for their intended purpose.
2	Ron and, in fact, Paul James, he's the
3	project manager who is heading up that
4	for the Army. They are going to be
5	coming up with a draft conceptual closer
6	plan submitting that to us for review.
7	That's all the RCRA stuff going on. Then
8	we have what else, of course the BRAC
9	cleanup plan which is honestly, we
10	have not taken the time to thoroughly go
11	through that, because we've got so many
12	work plans we're trying to get in to IT
13	to execute that dollar to make sure they
14	are out in the field and getting that
15	money spent before Ron loses it. That's
16	a key to all of this just making sure
17	money gets spent in a timely manner.
18	They'll pull it away from Ron in a
19	heartbeat if it isn't. But then, the
20	BRAC cleanup plan, there's a lot of
21	information to be put into that as we go
22	through the process to see where we're
23	having some difficulties.

1	What else is going on. Another
2	issue that y'all need to be aware of
3	other than the lead base paint that we're
4	having with the Army, we're going to have
5	issues throughout this process and you
6	guys should know about it, are the
7	ranges, CERCLA issues in the ranges. I'm
8	not talking about the ordnance, I'm
9	talking about CERCLA things such as lead,
10	such as potentially explosives residual,
11	possibly some solvents from where they
12	clean guns in the field. But there are a
13	lot of potential CERCLA issues in the
14	ranges that we need to address and look
15	at and not just unexploded ordnance. We
16	made that final to EBS, to Ron, and we're
17	going to work it out.
18	I guess the last thing that we've
19	got on the plate, we met in Huntsville
20	with the corps of engineers, department
21	of transportation was there, Mobile
22	district was there, non-stockpile folks
23	were there, a bunch of agencies to have

1	the UXO strategy meeting. The funding is
2	there. They've hired the contractor to
3	begin work for the Eastern Bypass. I'm
4	not sure, I haven't went back and looked
5	at the dates, but the first thing that
6	will be submitted are draft work plans
7	for the ECA. They have recently had the
8	archives search report updated to include
9	the CWN and we will be submitting that
10	shortly.
11	MR. LEVY: It's in a draft form to
12	go out.
13	MR. JOHNSON: If I've used any
14	acronyms, just tell me. Huntsville
15	wanted to go back and look at the entire
16	base for chemical warfare material
17	because the first archives search report
18	only included unexploded ordnance. And
19	they wanted to make sure that Ron and the
20	Army had made sure that they captured all
21	the chemical warfare sites. I don't know
22	if they picked up any additional, maybe
23	two, one or two possibly. I'll have to

1	look at that. Because they didn't want
2	to be out here doing work without knowing
3	where a potential site is, which is a
4	legitimate concern. So, we've got a lot
5	going on. And I hope that you guys want
6	to come out and watch them do some of
7	this sampling. We've got a lot of
8	innovative direct push sampling, with
9	geoprobes, putting micro wells in. One
10	issue we are having up here with the
11	sampling is with the direct push is they
12	came out here in May, it was hot, there
13	wasn't much rain, we were having a hard
14	time getting ground water, hard time
15	getting to it. I hope that when the IT
16	is back out in the field they are going
17	to be able to get down to ground water
18	either, we might have to go back to the
19	boring methodology dig down deeper to get
20	to it.
21	MR. THOMASSY: Yeah, that was a
22	question that I had. You said micro
23	wells, that is something I'm not familiar

1	with.
2	MR. JOHNSON: Really all a micro
3	well is is a miniature monitoring well.
4	It has everything a monitoring well does,
5	except it's just on a miniature level.
6	You go down with a geoprobe, you push
7	down to the level you need to be and you
8	go in with a slotted screen and a filter
9	pack, it's just smaller, goes inside a
10	two-inch diameter hole. They can purge
11	it and everything.
12	MR. LEVY: Instead of drilling down
13	with a big rig, it's just a direct push.
14	They use a rod no bigger than that.
15	MR. TURNER: How do they drive it?
16	MR. JOHNSON: Hydraulics.
17	MR. LEVY: The difficult part of
18	that is if they hit rock they can't go
19	any further.
20	MR. TURNER: Y'all need to get back
21	to my idea about dropping dynamite.
22	MR. JOHNSON: Then we'll have an
23	explosive problem. There's going to be

1	tons of data, thousands of dollars of
2	samples here.
3	MR. LEVY: Let me put this in a
4	macrounit and try to tie it back to the
5	BCT. If you remember the CERCLA process,
6	and that's what we're following, SI, site
7	investigations, RI, remedial
8	investigations, feasibility study, we
9	move into remedial design and remedial
10	action. The EBS and other
11	documentation, really what we're talking
12	about is the enhanced PA or the
13	preliminary assessments of the historical
14	view of the property. What we got was
15	the CERFA maps to find areas that we
16	really needed to look at from a
17	historical standpoint. We will have
1.0	completed and connect me if The conser
18	completed, and correct me if I'm wrong,
19	after this fall time frame, the field
20	work on almost eighty percent of those
21	SI's that needed to be done. Focus being
22	again, the Eastern Bypass and the area
23	inside the cantonment area from the

1	phasing standpoint. It also fits in with
2	our funding. In fiscal year '98 we were
3	funded to do the majority of our SI's.
4	The bulk of our funding is coming in
5	fiscal year '99 where we should be
6	getting into the RI phase and the cost
7	should be more significant. We're hoping
8	it will be less, because as Chris pointed
9	out, we will be able to drop out a lot of
10	sites because there is no risk there
11	whatsoever.
12	MR. JOHNSON: One flaw, and I can
13	say this since Bart is not here. One
14	flaw with the superfund program is it's
15	bad about getting into a do-loop
16	situation with trying to figure out what
17	is a risk, what problems, what sites
18	constitute risks and is it a problem.
19	We've spent a lot time trying to set up a
20	methodology where it's clear, it's clear
21	to the public and it's clear to all of us
22	trying to make decisions of whether or
23	not a site is a problem. And I feel that

1	when we get through with all these SI's
2	come this fall, probably ninety percent
3	of them will fall out and there'll be no
4	further actions on it. I feel pretty
5	confident about it. That way we can
6	focus on those ten percent on what we
7	need to do with them and where we need to
8	go.
9	MR. LEVY: Assuming it all moves
10	that way coming 1 October when they are
11	lowering the flag, we will have
12	eliminated the issues, environmental
13	issues on a good part of the property,
14	the Army is gone, the community is ready
15	to move in. We're right on target in
16	terms of disposal and transfer of
17	property. Bigger issues, again, will be
18	UXO and Choccolocco mountains, which are
19	going to take some time based on how
20	we've got those phased out. But we
21	focused in on the cantonment area and the
22	Eastern Bypass, and you can see from our
23	plan that's well underway.

1	MR. JOHNSON: Department of
2	transportation said they had the funding
3	for the road. It looks pretty promising
4	as far as dollars too.
5	MR. LEVY: There are still issues
6	within the BCT that we've got to work out
7	and come to conclusion on that will
8	enhance or speed up the process. We're
9	talking and we're doing well at this
10	point. We're not always in agreement,
11	but we're doing pretty good.
12	MR. THOMASSY: I would think one of
13	the things that we could do as a
14	restoration advisory board is evaluate
15	that and talk among ourselves. The more
16	I look at this the more satisfied I am
17	that the base cleanup team is doing the
18	things with the right focus and the right
19	priority. It would appear to me, even
20	though it's a qualitative view in most
21	mana that the funding is those and it.
21	cases, that the funding is there and it's
22	being spent and it's being planned for in
23	the right areas. And we need to feel

1	we're satisfied with that. And if we
2	are, our biggest job is understanding
3	that and staying with it and seeing that
4	the community understands that. That's
5	probably the biggest responsibility we
6	have, and to some extent we're not
7	fulfilling it well enough. We need more
8	interaction with members of this board
9	and the community.
10	MR. TURNER: Well, the complaint I
11	keep hearing is we haven't done a thing
12	in the three years we've been in
13	existence. We come to the meetings, we
14	hear a bunch of talk, but we just haven't
15	done anything. And I've heard it from
16	several members, and I feel that way
17	myself. And certainly not leveling
18	blame, because I was chairman of this
19	group for a while. I think we feel like
20	it's almost like a government puppet
21	show. And it's just not a rewarding
22	experience.
23	MR. THOMASSY: And my concern is if

1	we get pushed on something like that we
2	turn into antagonists just to prove that
3	we're doing something we shouldn't be.
4	And we can hurt the process. And so I
5	want to keep that in mind. I hate to get
6	involved in something we don't need to
7	just to prove to somebody who says we're
8	not doing something
9	MR. TURNER: What difference would
10	it make in the world if we didn't meet
11	next month?
12	MR. THOMASSY: None. Except we
13	wouldn't have the string of knowledge we
14	need to have by meeting every time.
15	MR. TURNER: We've accumulated
16	three years of knowledge and we aren't
17	using it. I mean I use it some in the
18	FMDC and maybe some of the others use it
19	in conversation or in making a decision
20	or two, but as far as having an active
21	role in the restoration, we don't. We
22	haven't done a thing.
23	MR. THOMASSY: Is there something,

or are there things that we should be getting involved in that we're not?

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. JOHNSON: Charles, to speak to that, the community relations aspect that we have to do in superfund and CERCLA and RCRA is really a bigger issue than what you think. When the public, and I've seen it happen time and time again, and it's happened in the past and the EPA learned the hard way, that if we just went out as government regulators and said, by god we're going to go up here and clean this how we want to, we don't care what the public thinks and we don't care what the community thinks. This is our project, we're getting funding for it and we'll do it how we want to. What that gets you in the long run is the community coming back saying they don't like something, going over here and getting Riley or somebody else involved, and yeah, we're going back and reopening that case and we're spending a whole lot

1	more money in going back. Or if it's
2	just educating the public as to what we
3	did. So the community, having buy-in
4	from the community what we do and how we
5	go out here and do it is crucial. The
6	more you guys go out to this community
7	and help us to tell them what's going on,
8	what we're doing or even what you have
9	issue with, the better off, it keeps us
10	or the EPA from having to come up here
11	and do some community relations as far as
12	what's been already run. In other words,
13	I don't want to see things in the
14	Anniston Star that says nothing is
15	getting done, nothing is going on, these
16	guys don't know what we're doing. That
17	shows me we're not doing our job here.
18	MR. MILLER: I hear that from the
19	public every day. The public's
20	perception of what we do here nothing. I
21	hear this from very prominent groups,
22	very prominent functions that I go to,
23	very prominent parties and from people

1	that you wouldn't expect to hear that
2	from. Just maybe two weeks ago, maybe
3	even less than that, I was at a party at
4	a very prominent person in town who says
5	to me "I understand from sources that I
6	know that the government is not going to
7	spend anything on clean up out there."
8	MR. JOHNSON: What do you tell them?
9	MR. MILLER: I try to relay some of
10	the information that I've received or
11	heard.
12	MR. TURNER: What's the short
13	answer?
14	MR. MILLER: The thing of it is is
15	why are these things? We don't do a good
16	job of promoting ourselves with the
17	public. We don't tell the public what we
18	do. And we need to do more of that. We
19	need to do it publicly.
20	MR. LEVY: I think we are doing
21	more of that. I've been to several groups
22	and we've got more scheduled. And Fern
23	and I have just been out to various

1	folks. And we'll be glad to talk to more
2	people. That's not a big deal for me.
3	But that's only part of the answer. You
4	all as members of this RAB have an
5	opportunity to go out and talk to folks,
6	and I wish you would, about what's going
7	on here. And we provide you with a lot
8	of information, a lot of information
9	about our programs. And if you are not
10	relaying those things back or if you want
11	more or if you need to get out and see
12	what's going on, hey, I'm all for that.
13	In fact, this fall, I hope that I can get
14	RAB members to go and see what IT is
15	doing in the field from an investigative
16	standpoint, maybe take some pictures
17	because you do need to get out and talk
18	to these people and you need to
19	understand what it is we're doing so you
20	can talk back about those programs.
21	Because we're not standing still, and
22	there has been a lot of money spent on
23	investigation. And ninety percent of the

1	up-front work is really what you end up
2	doing to disprove that there is a
3	problem. I mean, that's really what
4	we're trying to do here as we go through
5	and sample and define the conditions of
6	the property.
7	MR. TURNER: What are we trying to
8	do here? What is, how ever many of us
9	that are sitting here, the citizen
10	volunteer, what is it that we're doing?
11	MR. LEVY: As you look at our
12	programs?
13	MR. TURNER: No, I'm talking about
14	as a restoration advisory board what is
15	it that we have done and what is it that
16	we are doing now?
17	MR. LEVY: I'm not sure I understand
18	the question.
19	MR. JOHNSON: One, to me, you are
20	bringing up issues to us that we probably
21	overlooked. You are questioning the way
22	we're looking at a site. You can
23	question the way, what we've chosen for

1	remedial selection. Let's use landfill
2	three for example. That's say I came up
3	and said, " Charles, BCT, we're not going
4	to do anything with that landfill, we're
5	going to walk." You are obviously going
6	to have questions about it and want to
7	know, and so would the entire public.
8	And with us talking about it here just
9	like we are now, back and forth, I think
10	that helps raise issues, concerns, it
11	helps answer your concerns about it and
12	hopefully you can turn around and answer
13	somebody else's concerns about it in the
14	community. But we're required I
15	haven't gotten comment or phone call one
16	from anybody on the RAB that had a
17	question. And I'm supposed to respond to
18	everything. It's my job. I work for the
19	public. I haven't gotten any phone calls
20	or concerns about things.
21	MR. TURNER: I mean, I'm expressing
22	a concern right now. That is I think our
23	attendance remains low and will continue

1	and will be low as long as there's this
2	sense that we don't know what we're
3	doing, that we come in and get force fed
4	environmental information for two hours
5	and then go back out in the world and
6	come back next month and do it again.
7	MR. JOHNSON: I agree with you one
8	hundred percent, and I don't like the way
9	the forum is really going either. That's
10	why I was hoping that we could kind of
11	get into some discussions like this and
12	truly answer some questions. Because,
13	you know, you guys sitting up here and
14	watching somebody give you a speech
15	about, you name it, it's boring. It can
16	be boring.
17	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Are we missing a
18	link in this thing, a correlation between
19	all the information we're gathering and
20	supporting Ron in his efforts in the
21	environmental arena, and the ultimate
22	utilization of this post. And I think

that's what I hear Charles saying in some

23

1	great part. I'm concerned about that.
2	Will the utilization occur in a manner
3	that gives us a smooth and hopefully
4	seamless transition from the Army leaving
5	Fort McClellan and the local community
6	picking this up and utilizing this to a
7	positive benefit to the community. Are
8	we going to have a gap in here when the
9	weeds grow up and the paint falls off the
10	building, waiting for some of these
11	issues to be resolved and holding up some
12	agencies who might be able to utilize
13	this. So is there a correlation here
14	between, back to my original question,
15	between we as an advisory board and the
16	people who are ultimately going to
17	utilize and do something with this
18	property? So maybe we need
19	representation on JPA from this board.
20	If nothing more than to keep them
21	informed of what we're doing and how
22	we're doing it, and what they're doing,
23	where those areas of focus are and then

to keep this board somewhat on track and recommending to Ron. And indeed, that's priority and the way the thing ought to be going.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. THOMASSY: That's the way we started out. We said one of the most important things for us to keep at that time in our information basket understanding what the LRA was doing, now the FMDC. And we were to see that their priorities and their emphasis were being handled properly, and if we didn't like it, get that word out and get some pressure to get it corrected, get it switched, help the Army refocus its efforts if that was necessary without even having to go outside. So far we haven't done any of that for the simple fact I don't think we've found a glitch that we wanted to focus on. We've been very satisfied. Number One, the Eastern Bypass is being handled expeditiously and in the right form. That it's moving

1	along in parallel with the funding and
2	with the current schedule that's going
3	on. We see the amount of SI's that are
4	being done. We don't have any complaint
5	with the areas that have been focused on
6	at this point. If we do, we should be
7	bringing them up and discussing them in
8	here. And when we don't have things that
9	we really should focus on, I don't want
10	us to find them just because we want to
11	say we're doing something. Our next job
12	in my view is to then take that
13	information and get it out to the public
14	in a whole series of forms. Some of them
15	Ron has talked about when we go out and
16	talk to various groups. Some of it is
17	talking with people who have lack of
18	knowledge of what's really going on at
19	Fort McClellan and being able to convince
20	them what's going on, and there are many
21	other ideas here that we ought to bring
22	out in this discussion. Now, if I've
23	missed something, please bring it up.

1	What else should we be doing that we
2	haven't been doing?
3	MR. TURNER: I don't know, maybe
4	break the mold and look at it from a
5	general way.
6	MR. THOMASSY: Specifically.
7	MR. TURNER: Instead of having an
8	agenda, instead of going for two or three
9	hours on any given meeting night, that we
10	keep it shorter, that we keep the
11	discussion livelier, we forbid acronyms.
12	MR. THOMASSY: I'd like to do all of
13	that, but how do you stay informed? How
14	do you stay up to speed so you can do all
15	that other?
16	MR. TURNER: All this knowledge I
17	gained didn't do me any good, Fern.
18	MR. THOMASSY: Well, then you don't
19	need the knowledge?
20	MR. TURNER: Sure I needed it, but
21	we aren't seeing its practical
22	application. I mean, I feel like every
23	time I come in here, somebody shoves a

1	funnel in my ear, pours in a bunch of
2	acronyms, and I walk out and I can spout
3	all that stuff out, but it doesn't mean
4	anything. And all of that knowledge
5	hasn't made any difference in
6	participating in this really. So what?
7	Let's talk about the lead base paint and
8	the effect it's going to have when folks
9	grow tomatoes by their house. I mean, I
10	don't know how to describe adequately, I
11	think, the frustration I feel and the
12	frustration I'm hearing from other
13	people. And it's not just me saying
14	that. I'll be happy to tell you
15	privately the people I've been talking
16	to, because I don't think they'd mind.
17	They might mind me announcing it in an
18	open meeting. But the general feeling
19	that we aren't doing anything, this is a
20	government-run show, and that that's
21	that. That we're fulfilling some
22	government function here, but that we
23	aren't really doing anything meaningful.

1	MR. THOMASSY: And what should we be
2	doing that we're not doing? One of the
3	things certainly is informing the public
4	of what's actually going on here. I
5	mean, that's probably the root of people
6	saying we're not doing anything because
7	they don't understand what's going on at
8	Fort McClellan. So we're failing at that
9	job.
10	MR. TURNER: I think that if nothing
11	else for the amount of time we put in
12	this we ought to have a greater sense of
13	satisfaction in the work that we're
14	doing.
15	MR. THOMASSY: Specifics, I haven't
16	heard a specific yet. I haven't heard a
17	specific yet of what we should be doing.
18	MR. TURNER: We've been here an
19	hour and other than my diatribe against
20	what's going on politically, I heard a
21	meaningful discussion of lead base paint
22	and a technical discussion of where the
23	BRAC cleanup team is tonight. But there

1	is nothing I can go out and tell the guys
2	that I fish with what's going on at Fort
3	McClellan because it's all too technical,
4	and it's let me add, I challenge
5	anybody to ask Ron Levy for a date on
6	anything and get an answer on it. "We
7	don't know yet. We're still getting
8	information. That money is programmed
9	but it's not funded." We don't get
10	specifics. Or we get nothing but
11	technical information that we don't know
12	how to deal with.
13	MR. JOHNSON: I can give you
14	something you can take back to tell your
15	fishing buddies.
16	MR. TURNER: All right.
17	MR. JOHNSON: Tell them by November
18	we will have probably investigated eighty
19	percent of the main cantonment area out
20	here and see if there is any hazardous
21	substance that pose a risk.
22	MS. KINGSBURY: Don't tell them
23	that.

1	MR. JOHNSON: I'm just using an
2	example, Lisa, but it doesn't have to be,
3	you don't have to give them the technical
4	mumbo-jumbo. And we don't have to sit
5	here and give you the technical
6	mumbo-jumbo. I feel your pain, Charles,
7	I know what you are saying. It needs to
8	be, I think maybe a little more informal
9	and let's just get down to some issues
10	here and talk about it like fishing
11	buddies.
12	MR. TURNER: I'm all for it.
13	MR. COX: What about the month in
14	review. Maybe one or two pages on what
15	happened, what we've accomplished last
16	month. We're talking about the number of
17	wells that were done and this sample was
18	done. What about that as a way to start
19	off? To answer the question what are
20	they doing, well, here's what they did
21	last month.
22	MR. JOHNSON: I think that's a good
23	point, just giving what's been done at

1	the beginning of the meeting or a
2	handout.
3	MR. COX: That's what I say, a
4	handout, but it was a month in review.
5	MR. TURNER: I'm sorry, y'all, I've
6	got to go.
7	(Mr. Turner and Mr. Miller leave the
8	meeting.)
9	MR. THOMASSY: Unfortunately, I
10	heard a lot of frustration, but I still
11	have trouble grabbing a hold of the
12	specifics of where we go or don't go. I
13	mean, we can try to look at things we're
14	talking about. But we need a baseline,
15	too, I mean you give us a lot of facts of
16	what you did last month, but what does
17	that mean in the scheme of really what we
18	should be doing priority wise and overall
19	percentages, like you started to get to.
20	Those are the types of things we need,
21	because we need to be able to go out and
22	tell the public that we're satisfied,
23	that is what's being accomplished and it

1	is in the right direction. Or we ought
2	to say to you first as a base cleanup
3	team, "No, we don't like that. Here's
4	probably where it ought to go." I don't
5	feel any of us can do that right now.
6	For the most part we're pretty well
7	satisfied with what we hear, or we don't
8	have enough knowledge to go into the
9	details an further than what we've done.
10	MR. FAUST: Isn't it that we're just
11	in the limbo portion right now, you don't
12	have data?
13	MD TOINGON: Walne great ching and
13	MR. JOHNSON: We're scratching and
13 14	MR. JOHNSON: We're scratching and sniffing around out here.
14	sniffing around out here.
14 15	sniffing around out here.  MR. LEVY; A lot of the
14 15 16	sniffing around out here.  MR. LEVY; A lot of the  controversial issues are going to come
14 15 16 17	sniffing around out here.  MR. LEVY; A lot of the  controversial issues are going to come  when we start making decisions on the
14 15 16 17 18	sniffing around out here.  MR. LEVY; A lot of the  controversial issues are going to come  when we start making decisions on the  final use of the property or whether or
14 15 16 17 18	sniffing around out here.  MR. LEVY; A lot of the  controversial issues are going to come  when we start making decisions on the  final use of the property or whether or  not we can go forward with the property.
14 15 16 17 18 19	sniffing around out here.  MR. LEVY; A lot of the  controversial issues are going to come  when we start making decisions on the  final use of the property or whether or  not we can go forward with the property.  We're still investigating, and I'm sorry

1	process. And there's not a lot I can do
2	to speed it up without violating some
3	sort of regulatory requirement. And
4	yeah, the decisions will come, but they
5	are not going to happen now. And if you
6	want me to throw something controversial
7	out at you, we haven't hit it yet. I've
8	seen, and this frustrates me too. I seen
9	folks say, "Okay, what's your issue with
10	the EPA and the state?" Well, I don't
11	have one right now. Is that what you are
12	looking for, you want us fighting?
13	Because if that's what you want, it's not
14	going to happen.
15	MR. JOHNSON: We've got two issues.
16	MR. LEVY: We've got issues, but
17	people tell me, you know, you guys got to
18	be apart some place, something, I want to
19	hear about it, I want to know what it is
20	so I can get my that I don't
21	understand. And I know it's frustrating,
22	these meetings aren't that lively. But
23	there's a lot of information, this is not

1	easy stuff. It's technical. It's
2	jargoned. It's tough stuff. And I have
3	given out dates, it's in the BCT. I've
4	also given out time frames. So I don't
5	like being told I haven't given out dates
6	because I have.
7	MR. THOMASSY: And this is tough,
8	and that's why I, to some extent, reject
9	the idea that we don't need to be
10	educated continuously. Because when you
11	break that string, there is a lot of
12	catch up to do and there is so much
13	detail that you just can't be conversant
14	in what's going on unless you know all
15	the procedures and all the activities
16	that are in this type of thing. It takes
17	outside reading for all of us too, not
18	just the discussions that go on in here.
19	If it sounds like a school teacher's
20	approach, I basically believe in the
21	three R's.
22	MR. JOHNSON: And honestly we
23	haven't gotten as technical as it could

1	get. I've been on the phone for six
2	hours just arguing with EPA about what
3	outliar package we're going to use. It's
4	mind boggling how in the dirt or just how
5	technical you can be. But I think we've
6	got different levels. I think Fern and
7	probably Alan and Dr. Cox, folks like
8	that versus where, you know, Charles
9	likes the big picture quick and dirty
10	stuff.
11	MR. LEVY: Let me put this out to
12	the group. One thing I understand about
13	Charles is this is just not a very
14	interesting forum, the stuff we go
15	through is very dry and doesn't grab your
16	attention. What can we as a board do to
17	make it better or what can I do to make
18	it better? Can I provide the information
19	in a different way? Can we drive
20	discussions about issues instead of
21	looking at everything and passing out or
22	just focus on an issue and just throw it
23	out and say this is an issue?

1	MR. COX: What about a format such
2	as you could talk about issues, you could
3	talk about accomplishments, and you could
4	talk about probably problems. That would
5	be something they could probably
6	understand. What have you accomplished?
7	You were discussing the number of wells
8	and investigations being done, and if
9	somebody had a sheet saying well they
10	have done this, this, this, and
11	this and here's where they are so far.
12	You can start from day one even, you can
13	say here's what they have done. Here is
14	how much money they have spent, here's
15	what they've accomplished with the money
16	they have spent and here is where they
17	are going. In terms that would actually
18	be understandable to somebody without the
19	technical background. Do you think that
20	would relieve some of the frustrations?
21	Some of the cocktail party type talk.
22	MR. LEVY: See, I don't know. I'm
23	willing to do whatever the group wants to

1	know about or do.
2	MR. JOHNSON: That can be a homework
3	assignment. Top ten things to make RAB
4	fun, interesting, whatever.
5	MR. LEVY: That's the one thing
6	that frustrates me. We do provide a lot
7	of data and we have shown numbers and
8	time frames and even issues and it
9	doesn't get read sometimes.
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Let me respond to
11	your question of what might we do, and I
12	think where my frustration essentially
13	comes in, I look at these maps, and I've
14	gone through it quite extensively, but
15	somewhere in this book it talks about an
16	area on the golf course, as a case in
17	point, that has not been investigated but
18	it's a suspect area. Okay, the golf
19	course is one of the first areas to be
20	transferred as I understand the plan from
21	some of the folks who are doing this on
22	the reuse authority. As a case in point,

23

I realize there's a system and I don't

1	want to use the word bureaucratic
2	process, but that's essentially that's
3	exactly what it is, and that you have to
4	follow it, and I realize all the
5	regulations and processes that are
6	worked. But by the same token, the
7	bottom line to this whole thing is,
8	what's the status of the golf course, is
9	it clean? Can it be transferred? So
10	maybe we can, to liven up this
11	discussion, take the different key areas
12	that are primary concern and look at them
13	and provide us some information relative
14	to that so we have an idea of where are
15	we there?
16	MR. FAUST: Playing off what he's
17	saying, could we break it up where every
18	other month it's a technical session,
19	every other month it's an update on the
20	top twenty sites or the first twenty
21	sites so that those people that want to
22	and I think in order for Fern's point
23	redundancy, we've got to here it over and

1	over and over, because quite frankly I've
2	got a busy schedule and I don't look at
3	this very much, so for me to be a good
4	ally out there I need to hear it over and
5	over. I heard the technical thing, okay
6	I'm not going to go to the next technical
7	session, I'm going to go to the other one
8	which is, where do we stand on the first
9	twenty sites that are going to be
10	transferred and what's going to happen on
11	those. So that you can concentrate and
12	really beef up the technical session if
13	you only had to do it six times a year.
14	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Is that possible?
15	It may not be possible.
16	MR. JOHNSON: That's just it, this
17	is y'alls RAB. You can do what you want
18	with it. I'm here to provide information
19	whether it's technical or whether it's
20	talking about where we're going to play
21	golf next week. That's what I'm here
22	for. I feel like you guys have felt like

we have a process we must go through on

23

1	Monday night at 6:30 and there will be no
2	changes to it, and that's not how it is
3	at all. It's your RAB and you can have
4	it however you want to, as long as we
5	stay on the environmental and try not to
6	get too far from that.
7	MR. LEVY: What I can suggest next
8	time, the next RAB meeting is an open
9	discussion on improvement of our RAB. We
10	can continue on with this if you want, or
11	whatever information we've got, how it
12	should be packaged and how it should be
13	done. We can go back on this. But as
14	Fern was saying, I agree with him, you
15	can't just throw something out there out
16	of frustration and not be specific about
17	what your problem is without giving us a
18	direction to go. Don't tell me this
19	sucks and drop it in my lap without
20	telling me why it sucks.
21	MR. THOMASSY: Well, it's an
22	important thing also in getting this
23	thing out to the public. And so far,

1	very few people have been participating
2	in that. And I think we need more
3	participation in these public meetings.
4	We need more people going out and doing
5	the briefings and formal discussions with
6	Kiwanis, Rotary, Exchange Clubs, other
7	civic groups, possibly schools,
8	universities, there may be even some
9	utility in presenting things at
10	Jacksonville State and some of the other
11	colleges and schools in the area, I don't
12	know. Those are ideas that we need to
13	get involved in. People don't know
14	what's going on at Fort McClellan and
15	that creates nothing but bad questions.
16	MR. LEVY: And I'm willing to take
17	volunteers. We'll negotiate it. We'll
18	set it up if y'all want to go out there
19	and talk. I know some of you have said
20	that. Please, it doesn't have to be me.
21	Here's your chance to become a spokesman
22	for the group.
23	MR. THOMASSY: Well, we can do one

1	of two things. We can take a break or we
2	can go right into the community relations
3	report. What do you want to do?
4	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Continue.
5	MR. THOMASSY: Any objection to
6	continuing?
7	MR. JOHNSON: Guys, I'm going to
8	have to, I've got somewhere I've got to
9	go. Pardon me, but I've got to run on.
10	If there are any questions you have,
11	you've got my number.
12	MR. FAUST: Where did you say the
13	background metals report was?
14	MR. JOHNSON: Lisa has got a lot of
15	documents, she's got a lot of them right
16	here in her office. We just got them
17	like on Friday.
18	MR. HOOD: How about a basic rundown
19	on that for next time?
20	MR. JOHNSON: Of the report and how
21	it's going?
22	MR. HOOD: Not detail, just basics,
23	short, emphasize short.

1	MR. JOHNSON: I've got to prepare
2	something from it for another
3	presentation, yeah, that won't be a
4	problem.
5	MR. THOMASSY: Will you eventually
6	have a schedule for those ninety-seven to
7	a hundred SI's that's going on out there?
8	MR. JOHNSON: Oh, yeah, that's in
9	the Microsoft project 98. You've got it.
10	Isn't that in there, Lisa?
11	MS. KINGSBURY: I can get you
12	something better, for those it's
13	seventy-five parcels that are under
14	investigation. They have been separated
15	into, like the first bunch was separated
16	into six packages. And currently they
17	have reviewed the first three packages of
18	those six, and that's what they are going
19	to start on in September, the field work.
20	I can get you one piece of paper for both
21	groups of SI's.
22	MR. THOMASSY: I think that would
23	help because I think that would get some

1	of the ideas expressed here by Alan and
2	Don, and we can start tracking those
3	types of things as well and see if they
4	apply to the priorities that we're
5	talking about. Okay, good.
6	(Mr. Johnson leaves.)
7	MR. THOMASSY: Okay, Joan, are you
8	going to discuss the three topics?
9	MS. MCKINNEY: We've been moving
10	right along with the nomination
11	applications. We, on our mailing did
12	not, we had very little response. So
13	what we did is put it in some of the
14	local newspapers. We have had some phone
15	call follow ups and have sent
16	applications out to them. I have put at
17	each one of the places another set in
18	case any of you have someone you would
19	like to nominate or you want to hand it
20	out. I think that's probably, at the
21	September meeting we will have all that
22	we're going to get and we'll be a little
23	farther along so you can see these other

1	applications. You know we, as I
2	understand it, you don't have to choose
3	anyone else to be on the board. We did
4	have one vacancy, or one resignation who
5	asked to remain on an inactive status.
6	But that's the status of the application
7	process. Can I answer any other
8	questions on that?
9	MR. LEVY: How many total
10	applications do we have?
11	MS. MCKINNEY: Pardon me?
12	MR. LEVY: Total applications that
13	were submitted.
14	MR. MCKINNEY: I think I've got
15	eight. Kind of goes back to the apathy
16	we have in the community on this, and
17	that's a term we should use rather than
18	them not knowing. It's hard to get them
19	to be interested unless there's some
20	specific issue that might be perceived as
21	being negative.
22	Out next presentation is next month
23	at the Oxford Kiwanis. Originally you

1	all had suggested we do this every other
2	month and that's kind of the schedule
3	I've been moving towards. I am booking
4	up already in the fall also. We've got
5	one for November already slated. If you
6	all again, to reiterate what I just
7	heard, we'll do the coordination. I can
8	do all of that and get it set up and do
9	all the leg work. You suggested the
10	Jacksonville Exchange Club, we went there
11	the following month. Just let us know
12	and we can work that out. And I do agree
13	with Fern's comments on some of the out
14	of the ordinary groups. Some of the
15	folks, what we want to target are centers
16	of influence. People who may not be out
17	there that are very influential, as I
18	heard earlier in the meeting, we want to
19	get people who are talking among
20	themselves. So the church groups, maybe
21	the retired teachers association, a
22	variety of people who you don't see in
23	the newspaper, you don't see their names

in the newspaper all the time, but those

are the people, what was the term, silent

majority kind of thing. Those are the

people we want to get to.

MR. LEVY: What's the possibility

of us going out to some of the elected

MR. LEVY: What's the possibility of us going out to some of the elected officials? I would love to go in and talk to the Mayor of Anniston and give him an outline of what we're doing on the environmental side if he could find the time on his calendar. Or anybody else, either city council or Calhoun County commissioners, or anybody that's willing to sit and listen to us for a period of time.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If you go back, and again, I keep referring to the Anniston Star for my information, but the fact is, the very thing I surfaced, my first question dealt with the business of a serious controversy between the elected officials and the mayors of Piedmont, Oxford and Jacksonville and Anniston, and

1	so it would appear to me that there's
2	where we could make a focus on this thing
3	to at least get the feelings, give and
4	take proposition from those people. They
5	are actually the ones who are influencing
6	what happens out here, in terms of reuse.
7	MS. MCKINNEY: I think the
8	appropriate forum with these elected
9	officials and these bodies that you are
10	talking about is in their work session
11	rather than in their formal council
12	meeting that they have. And that's where
13	there is a little more time allotted for
14	us to be on the agenda and a little more
15	give and take. That's certainly we could
16	send those things out. And I think they
17	would be very amenable to it.
18	MR. LEVY: I think even get the
19	media more involved. It's been difficult
20	trying to get them interested in stories
21	about what it is we're doing. I mean we
22	have propositioned them on some stories,

23

and it was well, it's not good enough for

1	118	right	now.
	ab	JC	· · ·

MS. MCKINNEY: Well, we know that 2 3 the media is a business. And they are only going to focus on those things that 5 will sell. And we don't have issues 6 other than our progress. We did get --7 what was it, about April we got one of the reporters here. Sat here for most of 9 the meeting, went back in and used my phone and said to his editor, "You were 10 right. This is a dull meeting." And it 11 12 was a good meeting, there was a lot of 13 information. But they are in a business 14 which sells. And so it's very, very 15 difficult for me to sell this to them when they don't see something that they 16 can make a headline. So, you know, we 17 just have to focus on that, and I have to 18 keep trying to sell it, which we will do. 19 20 But good news isn't something they always need or want. And you'll always be 21 22 preempted even if you've got good news by 23 a murder.

1	Now, we are working towards our next
2	quarterly out in the community meeting,
3	which will be next month also. And kind
4	of trying to kind of think out of the
5	box, as we've been encouraged to do. And
6	we're looking at doing next month's
7	meeting at a store front down at the
8	Quintard Mall, one of those empty store
9	fronts, kind of just go to the public and
10	just work it there. Obviously once we
11	start the meeting we are not going to
12	have a lot of people coming in and out.
13	But I can do all of the pre-pub and it's
14	frequently done in malls now. The malls
15	like it. The mall manager likes it.
16	And it just gives us another outlet for
17	the public to be aware of us. Trying to
18	make it easier for the public to get to
19	us.
20	MR. LEVY: Does anybody have any
21	objections to doing this?
22	MS. MCKINNEY: It will be put on the
23	marquis at the Q-Mall.

1	MR. THOMASSY: What are we going to
2	do that would make it worthwhile for the
3	public to come in rather than these
4	boring meetings we've been having for the
5	last two and a half years?
6	MR. HOOD: Balloons and a clown.
7	MR. THOMASSY: That really bothers
8	me to have a public meeting and not have
9	something fancy and hard hitting when you
10	are going to have members of the public
11	obviously in there. Sure.
12	GALLERY MEMBER: I think it will
13	liven it up some, I think we'll get
14	questions.
15	MR. THOMASSY: That would be
16	interesting if we did. We haven't so
17	far. We haven't gotten any in Anniston
18	and we didn't get any in Weaver.
19	MR. MCKINNEY: That's assuming we're
20	going to get many people even in. Monday
21	night is a slow night for any business
22	and the mall is no different. So it's
23	just trying to figure out ways to get out

1	there where the public is.
2	MR. LEVY: Change to another night,
3	Monday night.
4	MR. FAUST: Is that session going to
5	be an overview? And again I think the
6	board as a whole could use overviews once
7	a quarter just kind of starting back at
8	ground zero.
9	MS. KINGSBURY: I had suggested
10	earlier that we call IT to come in and
11	explain all their SI work that they are
12	fixing to start this fall.
13	MR. LEVY: And then I heard what
14	Charles said about having it funneled
15	into his ear. So I don't know where to
16	go sometimes on that. Some people really
17	want to hear the information, others say
18	no, this is too boring to me, just give
19	me the hard-hitting facts, something I
20	can really chew on.
21	MR. THOMASSY: If you can do that,
22	I'm all for it. I don't know how you can
23	do it without detail. This is a very,

1	very detailed, bureaucratic, complicated
2	process. All the parts have to be
3	understood or we're going to go out and
4	trip all over ourselves when we try to
5	talk about something.
6	MR. LEVY: I totally agree.
7	MR. FAUST: My perception as opposed
8	to a brown field site or something where
9	the public is concerned about the
10	environmental first because it's going to
11	be a big piece of property and they don't
12	want environmental problems. My
13	perception is the public wants this to be
14	redeveloped, so they don't want
15	environmental to get in the way or hold
16	it up. So I think when we go out there,
17	especially with the opportunity of
18	getting walk-bys and probably the best
19	potential we're going to have of the
20	common guy out there is to go through and
21	say how is this cleanup going to meld and
22	not get in the way of transferring
23	properties.

1	MR. LEVY: It's going to happen,
2	there are going to be impasses, there's
3	no doubt about it.
4	MR. FAUST: I'm saying, yeah, but
5	your focus is to work towards minimizing
6	those.
7	MR. THOMASSY: And our current view
8	indicates with what we know right now
9	that's where we are. We have minimized
10	those in the current state of things.
11	And, of course, a lot of people would
12	like to see the end state defined with
13	dates and activities, you can't do that.
14	How we explain that to the public, we've
15	got to think and talk about. Because
16	complex actions that don't have clean
17	answers yet can really raise a lot of
18	questions and perceptions.
19	MR. LEVY: I believe, I strongly
20	believe there's going to come a point in
21	time where we've gotten to the decision
22	making point, focal point. You know, now
23	we're deciding whether or not we can,

1	that we no longer have a problem with the
2	property and we can move into transfer or
3	that we've got to do some sort of action
4	on that property. That's where, you
5	know, your advice or your role plays a
6	real key part in saying hey, well, you
7	know, I don't believe that's the right
8	thing to do, this is what I think.
9	Because we're still doing a lot of
10	up-front work and investigative which
11	lays the groundwork for when we get to
12	that decision point. And that's when it
13	becomes one of those things, well, you
14	don't want to cap that thing you want to
15	walk away from it, I disagree, and here's
16	my reasons, I don't think you are being
17	protective of human health and the
18	environment and I don't believe the data.
19	Or you know, whatever your reasons are.
20	You need to tell me more, you need to
21	convince me more.
22	MR. FAUST: We're not there.
23	MR. THOMASSY: The closest one we

1	have Don brought up, we need an answer on
2	the golf course. I think that's one
3	that we ought to focus on.
4	MR. LEVY: We've not done any work
5	on the golf course, it's coming.
6	MS. MCKINNEY: If y'all have no
7	objection on going to the mall, I'll go
8	ahead and move to that.
9	MR. THOMASSY: Are we ready to go to
10	the mall? Do we want to go to the mall?
11	Or is that going to be a dull session in
12	front of the public?
13	MR. HOOD: Well, I mean, we are dull
14	in here.
15	MR. THOMASSY: I don't mean for us.
16	MR. HOOD: How many meetings
17	anywhere of anyone, unless you are
18	specifically involved in that particular
19	question are interesting to anyone
20	outside of that meeting?
21	MR. THOMASSY: Some are duller than
22	others, and this is one of them.
23	MR. LEVY: Let me ask this, if we

1	set up a meeting, it wasn't a meeting for
2	us to have discussion, but it's an open
3	forum for the RAB to listen to citizens
4	come in and raise any issue they think
5	environmental-wise about Fort McClellan
6	that we can listen to, take note of it
7	and have some discussion about. Where we
8	just allow the public to come in, and we
9	advertise it that way. This is an open
10	forum, we want to hear your concerns, the
11	RAB wants to know what's going through
12	your mind. We're going to be at such and
13	such location, come down and express your
14	opinion. Instead of having a discussion
15	set up around something I present, you
16	know, we are there to wait and listen to
17	what the public says.
18	MS. MCKINNEY: If this occurs,
19	you'll have a couple of those questions
20	like the only two that Ron got when he
21	was on the radio, you know, why are you
22	closing Fort McClellan? But he fielded
23	it very well. But those are things, this

1	could be a very good open forum like
2	that.
3	MR. THOMASSY: That's fine. My only
4	concern is what I've seen in the past is
5	we're going to sit there and twiddle our
6	thumbs for a long time, because I'm
7	skeptical there'll be that many people to
8	keep us active. So how long do we sit
9	there and wait for that or just adjourn
10	and leave. I think we need to make some
11	hard decisions up front. Give ourselves
12	a little bit of time and if it doesn't
13	work out, head for the hills.
14	MR. LEVY: Are you saying we ought
15	to wait to go to the mall?
16	MR. THOMASSY: No, I heard everybody
17	saying yeah do it.
18	MR. LEVY: Do we want to set this up
19	as an open forum and leave it that way?
20	MR. FAUST: Charles and others are
21	hearing that, advertise it as "The RAB's
22	alive. We want to hear what's on your
23	mind. We'll also have information if

1	you're just curious."
2	MR. LEVY: We could use the money to
3	do a one-page big ad in the Anniston Star
4	that says hey we're having open forum, we
5	want to hear from you. Is that
6	reasonable? I can use the money for
7	that.
8	MR. THOMASSY: Sure. When are we
9	going to do it, Joan?
10	MS. MCKINNEY: Well, we have it
11	scheduled for the 17th.
12	MR. THOMASSY: August 17th?
13	MS. MCKINNEY: Yes.
14	DR. COX: We could say environmental
15	concerns at Fort McClellan, would that
16	be?
17	MS. MCKINNEY: Environmental
18	cleanup?
19	DR. COX: Environmental concerns,
20	how about that?
21	MR. HOOD: You'll be surprised how
22	many people can miss a one-day whole page
23	ad.

1	MS. MCKINNEY: Well, we'll, you
2	know, put it on the marquis and I'll do
3	some PSA's and see if we can't get it on
4	Channel 5.
5	MR. THOMASSY: I would think since
6	we're going out into a public forum where
7	we're going to have advertising like
8	that, it's probably a good idea we wear
9	coat and tie as a board to that meeting.
10	I would suggest that.
11	MS. MCKINNEY: I have mixed feelings
12	about that. I don't want to intimidate
13	the public.
14	MR. THOMASSY: Anybody have a
15	problem or concern about doing that for a
16	public meeting?
17	MR. HOOD: No problem except I might
18	have to come out in a postal outfit.
19	MS. MCKINNEY: How about any of the
20	work sessions with any of the
21	municipalities? Anybody want me to
22	follow up on any of the work sessions of
23	any of the municipalities?

1	MR. LEVY: I do, I definitely do.
2	If nothing else, I can go representing
3	Fort McClellan as a guy who wants to talk
4	about the program. Sure would like to
5	take a few of you along.
6	MS. MCKINNEY: Do you want me to go
7	to Anniston first?
8	MR. THOMASSY: Any old business?
9	Any discussions from, Ron, you have a
10	piece of information you put out in
11	everybody's place that shows dollars and
12	fiscal years.
13	MR. LEVY: I think it was you,
14	Fern, who asked to see some information
15	on budgeting. In fact, what you've got
16	here is what we've spent in various
17	program areas. And the project status,
18	you can see those acronyms everybody
19	hates, SI's, site investigation, remedial
20	investigation, RI. Feasible study,
21	that's what the phases they are in or
22	will be in in the next fiscal year. And
23	then you see where the money is going to,

1	who the executing agency. The INLSTL
2	stands for installation.
3	MR. THOMASSY: Ron had a good
4	question, is this in thousands of
5	dollars?
6	MR. LEVY: It is. CESAM stands for
7	Corps of Engineers South Atlantic Mobile
8	District. So, and then you'll see the
9	dollars. Now, issues as to the out years
10	is because of contracting requirements I
11	can't show you those. But really this is
12	what we've spent or will be spending this
13	year on the various program areas.
14	MR. FAUST: For the out years that
15	is the projected annual budgets?
16	MR. LEVY: We actually have dollars
17	projected beyond 2001. BRAC funding
18	though is supposed to go up to 2001. You
19	see the column there is out to 2001 but
20	it didn't show any numbers again because
21	of some of the contractual issues. What
22	you do see is the total for those.
23	MR. THOMASSY: That's what that line

1	should be, it's to be total? It doesn't
2	have a description or title. That is the
3	total, the sum.
4	MR. LEVY: Right. We should have
5	put that as total.
6	MR. THOMASSY: Good, thanks, Ron,
7	that helps. I know that's within the
8	limitations of what you could do. I
9	appreciate that.
10	MR. FAUST: Is the big numbers for
11	this year tank removals, motor pool.
12	MR. LEVY: They are not tank
13	removal, they are really investigations
14	of industrial motor pool sites. And it
15	could be investigations of tanks that are
16	in those sites. But it really
17	encompasses the entire site. There will
18	be various sampling going on the entire
19	site from everything from surface,
20	subsurface, sediments, surface water to
21	ground water.
22	MR. THOMASSY: Can I also assume
23	that '99 and 2000 that that Eastern

1	Bypass number is going up a lot higher
2	than \$300,000? You've got the funding
3	needed?
4	MR. LEVY: Yes, you can assume
5	that. That's a good assumption.
6	MR. THOMASSY: Any other old
7	business? Okay, new business. We've
8	discussed a lot of that already. Any
9	comments from anywhere? Anybody want to
10	go home? Okay, meeting adjourned.
11	(Whereupon this meeting was
12	adjourned at 8:10 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	
5	STATE OF ALABAMA )
6	CALHOUN COUNTY )
7	I, DONNA D. GALLAHAR, a Court
8	Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the State
9	of Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and
10	qualified, hereby certify that the within named
11	meeting was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards
12	transcribed upon a computer; and that the foregoing
13	is a true and correct transcript of the meeting.
14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that this
15	meeting was taken at the time and place in the
16	foregoing caption specified, and was completed
17	without adjournment.
18	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a
19	relative, counsel, or attorney for any party, or
20	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
22	hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal at
23	Anniston, Alabama, on this the day of

1	, 1998.	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	DONNA D. GALLAHAR Notary Public in and f	or
7	Alabama at Large	
8		
9	My commission expires May 21, 2001.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		